



REGREEN

NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS


Fostering nature-based solutions for smart, green and healthy urban transitions in Europe and China

Deliverable **N°8.8**

WP N°8 Innovation and impact creation

PROSPECTUS FOR NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS BUSINESS INVESTMENT

Authors: **Hans-Peter Ellmer (JR), David Blaettner (JR),
Andreas Tuerk (JR)**



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no.821016 This document reflects only the author's view and the Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

GRANT AGREEMENT No.	821016
DOCUMENT TYPE ¹	R
WORKPACKAGE No. /TITLE	WP8 Innovation and impact creation
LEAD CONTRACTOR	JOANNEUM RESEARCH
AUTHOR(S)	Hans-Peter Ellmer (JR) David Blaettner (JR) Andreas Tuerk (JR)
REVIEWED BY	Ellen Banzhaf (UFZ), Marianne Zandersen (AU)
PLANNED DELIVERY DATE	31.07.2023
ACTUAL DELIVERY DATE	27.07.2023 /Reviewed and re-submitted 28.06.2024
DISSEMINATION LEVEL ²	PU

¹ Type: P: Prototype; R: Report; D: Demonstrator; O: Other.

² Security Class: PU: Public; PP: Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission); RE: Restricted to a group defined by the consortium (including the Commission); CO: Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission).

Copyright Statement

The work described in this document has been conducted within the REGREEN project. This document reflects only the REGREEN Consortium view and the European Union is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

This document and its content are the property of the REGREEN Consortium. All rights relevant to this document are determined by the applicable laws. Access to this document does not grant any right or license on the document or its contents. This document or its contents are not to be used or treated in any manner inconsistent with the rights or interests of the REGREEN Consortium or the Partners detriment and are not to be disclosed externally without prior written consent from the REGREEN Partners.

Each REGREEN Partner may use this document in conformity with the REGREEN Consortium Grant Agreement provisions.

Suggested citation:

Ellmer, H.-P., Blaettner, D., & Türk, A. (2023). Prospectus for Nature-Based Solutions business investment. REGREEN Deliverable D8.8. REGREEN - Fostering nature-based solutions for smart, green and healthy urban transitions in Europe and China. Horizon2020 Grant No. 821016. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12581495>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Urban areas are increasingly experiencing the consequences of climate change, loss of biodiversity and nature and social challenges. The awareness that Nature-based Solutions (NbS) will play a central role in the process to more sustainable, liveable and resilient cities is growing. However, in order to mainstream NbS in the planning and implementation of NbS on the ground in cities, far higher amounts of funding are needed than currently provided. The public sector alone may not be able to secure the required funding but will need to increasingly engage public-private partnerships and private investment. However, the boundaries of who should be considered responsible for the required investment and to what extent cannot be simply drawn. Although NbS provide multiple benefits, offering cost-effective solutions to many current urban challenges, private investment into NbS is currently hampered by the need to secure a return on investment. While NbS can provide opportunities for climate risk reductions that could be made ‘bankable’, private investors are less inclined to (co-)fund the aspects of NbS that are so-called ‘public goods’, i.e. ecosystem services that every member of society can use or benefit from without reducing its availability to others. Examples of public goods provided by NbS is clean air, clean water, or spaces for mental and physical restoration, education and recreation. Public goods are typically provided by government and funded through taxes, but the need for a step-change in financing of NbS requires different models of collaboration between local authorities, governments and the private sector.

This prospectus provides insights into the structure of the market for NbS and its regulations and framework conditions from a practical perspective. Current developments and requirements were discussed with experts, as well as barriers to the financing of NbS and how they could be overcome.

An approach for developing sustainable business models is outlined in theory and applied in practice, resulting in three distinct business models for NbS: i) a public-private driven model aiming for a balanced and fair relationship between parties; ii) a commercially driven consultancy model; and iii) a citizen driven model. These models provide first-stage concepts, recommendations, and best practices for business activities, each with different potentials and varying degrees of private or public participation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all REGREEN partners for the lively discussions on the topic at in-person and online project meetings. Furthermore, we thank our colleagues from the REGREEN Urban Living Labs (ULLs) Aarhus and Velika Gorica for the inputs for our business cases, and to the participants of the group discussion on the Chinese market – REGREEN colleagues Richard Hardiman and Yao-Yang Xu (Institute of Urban Environment – Chinese Academy of Sciences), China expert Hew Slater (Client Earth China), and to the interviewed experts from “Fresh Thoughts Consulting”, “Greenpass” and “Zelena Energetska Zadruga”.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT INFORMATION	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	4
LIST OF FIGURES.....	5
LIST OF TABLES.....	5
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	5
1 INTRODUCTION	6
2 METHODS AND REGREEN SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS MODEL DEVELOPMENT.....	8
2.1 Methods	8
2.2 Sustainable business model development.....	8
3 DEFINITIONS AND CONTEXTUALIZATION	12
3.1 NbS, NBE, Natural Capital	12
3.2 Sustainable business model innovation and archetypes.....	14
4 NBS MARKET, FINANCING AND POLICIES	18
4.1 Market and financing overview	18
4.2 Policies and regulations	22
5 MAIN BARRIERS FOR FINANCING NBS AND HOW TO OVERCOME	25
6 REGREEN BUSINESS MODELS APPROACHES.....	29
6.1 Public-private driven model.....	29
6.2 Commercially driven consultancy model	32
6.3 Citizen driven model	36
7 CONCLUSION	39
8 REFERENCES	41
9 ANNEX	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Business Model Canvas - based on Business Model Canvas by Osterwalder et al. (23)10
 Figure 2: Global investments of NbS, own figure, data UNEP (2).....18

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Typology of sectors working with NbS19
 Table 2: Main barriers for financing NbS27
 Table 3: Business model definitions – selection from Geissdoerfer’s study 2018 (11)44
 Table 4: Sustainable business model definitions – selection from Geissdoerfer’ study 2018 (11)45

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
BMA	Business Model Archetypes
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CBI	Climate Bonds Initiative
CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY DUE DILIGENCE DIRECTIVE	CSDDD
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
CSRD	Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive
EIB	European Investment Bank
ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
ESRS	Environmental Sustainability Reporting Standards
EU	European Union
GI	Green Infrastructure
GBP	The Green Bond Principles
ICMA	International Capital Markets Association
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
NCAVES	Natural Capital Accounting and Valuation of Ecosystem Services
NBE	Nature-Based Enterprises
NBS	Nature-based Solutions
SAFE	Silvo-arable Agro-Forestry for Europe
SFDR	Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation
ULLS	Urban Living Labs
UOP	Use of Proceed
USD	United States Dollar
USER EXPERIENCE	UX

1 INTRODUCTION

The diverse consequences of global warming, such as environmental hazards from heat, flooding, air pollution or loss of biodiversity, are exacerbated in urban areas. People and nature in cities experience the negative effects of climate change.

Today nearly 60% of the world's population lives in cities. By 2050, the expectation is an increase up to 70%, or more than 8 billion, urban inhabitants. But cities are not only home to the largest share of the global population, they also generate more than 80% of the global GDP (1). Unhealthy and less liveable cities therefore have an impact not only on lives and health, but also on economic development.

Nature-based Solutions (NbS) are seen as a holistic answer for manifold challenges related to climate change we are facing in the next decades. NbS are not one-dimensional but target social, environmental, economic or other issues, and even their benefits are multi-dimensional. On the one hand, this makes it impossible to create a blueprint for successful business or action. On the other hand, it offers opportunities for rethinking the nature-human relationship, with business opportunities along the road of green transformation. However, private businesses alone will not solve the problems of climate-related hazards, loss of biodiversity or storing carbon on a large scale.

NbS generate and preserve functioning ecosystems that cannot be expressed in purely monetary terms because of their intangible nature of their benefits related to aesthetic, physical and mental health and well-being. This makes NbS not only a topic of private interests but also of nature as a public good and accessibility as a question of equality. To promote the expansion of NbS, there is a need for active public authorities, the right framework conditions and regulations for private companies, as well as opportunities for fruitful interactions between both public and private entities.

This prospectus aims to address these intricate requirements. Beside academic experts, it is also addressed and written for a broader audience, e.g., municipalities, and provides a concise overview of the NbS market, possibilities, and solutions for business investments. We have chosen a certain perspective, namely a sustainable business model approach, because it seemed a promising path to demonstrate exemplary concrete and contrasting business opportunities.

Section 2 describes the methodology in developing the prospectus for NbS business investment and describes the research process for developing business models. The outlined five steps can be applied as a basis for developing further NbS business models.

Section 3 clarifies the main definitions of NbS and nature-based enterprises (NBEs), as well as business models and how they become sustainable. In this section, we also define “natural capital” because it brings in the added value of ecosystem services delivered by NbS, which is difficult to represent comprehensively in economic key figures.

Section 4 gives insights into the market and financing of NbS, followed by an expert assessment reflecting on the overall market and how to increase private investments in the field. Policies and regulations are crucial to fostering NbS, which is also discussed from a European and Chinese perspective with experts.

Section 5 presents the results of a practical exercise with the experts regarding barriers to the financing of NbS. The experts had to complete a card sorting exercise by sorting different statements on how to overcome barriers such as the coordination of private and public investors or the valuation and accounting for the benefits of NbS. The results of the exercise are discussed from a European and Chinese perspective.

Section 6 gives a summary of the research on three distinct business model approaches. These three models were specifically selected and developed to represent different approaches in which businesses could be set up. They were created in collaboration with REGREEN partners, drawing on practical experiences in REGREEN Urban Living Labs (ULLs) and based on real cases. A business model canvas was used to steer discussions. The models not only differ fundamentally in their scope, financing, and ownership, but they also show the discrepancy between using nature as a common good and using it for commercial interests. In conclusion, the three business models are discussed with regard to their potential and barriers.

The sections of this report are mostly structured to present theoretical inputs followed by practice-oriented expert assessments. We have sought to take into account both the European and Chinese perspectives throughout.

2 METHODS AND REGREEN SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS MODEL DEVELOPMENT

In this section, the methodological approach for this prospectus is described including the research process for developing sustainable business models, step by step.

2.1 Methods

A qualitative research approach was chosen based on desk research, expert interviews with interactive exercises, bilateral talks and discussions at project meetings, and using a business model canvas.

The section “definitions and contextualization” is entirely based on desk research while the following sections alternate between theoretical background and empirical inputs.

The subsections of “NbS market, financing, and policies” (Section 4) are each introduced by general information and concluded by an expert assessment. The discussion on “main barriers for financing NbS and how to overcome” (Section 5) is based on expert interviews and a group discussion from the Chinese perspective. For this, we prepared a Google Jamboard with a card sorting task featuring different statements (based on (22)) on how to overcome the lack of investment in NbS. Beside this, our business model approaches, which are outlined in Section 6, was done by extensive desk research, co-developed with our ULLs, and in a last step discussed with experts. Overall, we conducted three expert interviews and one group discussion with Chinese experts for the assessment parts and the business models.

Our experts were from:

- REGREEN partner Zelena Energetska Zadruga (ZEZ), a green energy cooperative from Croatia;
- ‘Fresh Thoughts Consulting’, a private consulting company at the interface between science and policies, based in Vienna;
- ‘Greenpass’, a private climate-tech company with expertise in climate resilience and software development.


The participants in the group discussion were REGREEN colleagues Richard Hardiman (Hebrew University of Jerusalem), Yao-Yang Xu (Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences), and Hew Slater (Client Earth, China), and three PhD students from China.

The five-step research process for developing sustainable business models is described step-by-step later in this section. This part and the finally-outlined three business model approaches were developed with partners of the REGREEN consortium. The first phase involved desk research, bilateral talks and regularly scheduled Work Package meetings. In the second phase, we worked with practice-proven partners from the European ULLs and utilized an adapted business model canvas. The concepts for the models obtained through this process were further sharpened and discussed internally at a project meeting. The results are presented in Section 6, which also includes best practices for each model, identified through desk research.

2.2 Sustainable business model development

The research process of developing business models and their overall economic embedding in the REGREEN project can be described as an iterative process with a focus on keeping the balance between theoretical inputs and practical experiences. This section serves as an overview of our

approach and methodology outlined in this prospectus, as well as an outline of the initial steps towards the development of a NbS business model during initial stage. The research process is summarised here in five steps.



Step One: Exploration

In REGREEN, Urban Living Labs (ULLs) are central partners in the project. There are three European ULLs (Aarhus, Paris Region, and Velika Gorica) and three Chinese ULLs (Beijing, Shanghai, and Ningbo), which differ in size, location, climate, and socio-economic context. Due to the importance of a holistic approach in the development of NbS business models, the starting phase focused on exchange via online meetings with project partners and discussions, as well as informal talks during in-person meetings. As known, for NbS interventions and business governance, broad stakeholder engagement and adequate financing are key aspects. Therefore, at this stage, it is important to find concrete business cases to work with. At this stage of the process, existing models were discussed with caution to make a clear distinction between them. Parallel desk research has been conducted in three strands:

1. Collecting information on business models, sustainable business models, and business innovation.
2. General research to get the relevant definitions and information on NbS with a view on business, governance, and financial content.
3. Focusing on tools and guidance regarding NbS and business.

Step Two: Data collection with business model development tools

After "Step One", which aims to lay the theoretical foundation and brings together a small group of people for a first exchange on potential directions or starting points for business models and formulate initial ideas, the knowledge of experts has proven useful. Another option are contact points that can

provide inspiration and support. Innovative tools have been developed in H2020 projects on NbS for this stage.

In our case, we began by utilizing the business model canvas. Based on the original Canvas by Osterwalder et al. (23), we adapted a template with guidelines for its application. Our partners from European ULLs actively participated in filling out the canvas, after which we engaged in detailed discussions on its contents.




Figure 1: Business Model Canvas - based on Business Model Canvas by Osterwalder et al. (23)

Regardless of how the categories are named, the key is to establish a common understanding of these categories to capture and evaluate the proposed value of the business model—which is achieved by a clear description—and to have a first assessment of the initial idea.

Another useful tool for the first development phase of NBEs is the business model puzzle, developed in the EU Horizon 2020 project *Naturvation*. The business model puzzle captures, in a slightly different way, the same categories as in the business model canvas, but with a special focus on the different stakeholders. That’s why it can be seen as a dialogue tool for the implementation of urban NbS, aiming towards healthy and lively communication between different stakeholders, which was identified as a critical point by our interviewed experts.

The Nature Solutions Platform is a stand-alone webpage of the REGREEN project for sharing insights, knowledge, and experience on NbS with SMEs, NGOs, cities, schools, and other interested communities. The page can be a first point of contact and research for the initial phase of NbS business model development. In addition to featuring a section for "Fund and Share" projects, the platform

hosts an online decision support tool (DST) that uses outputs from REGREEN activities to provide guidance on planning and management, co-benefit optimisation of NbS, and building business models.

Step Three: Deconstruction and critical analysis: sharpening concepts and models

In this stage, the content created in step two (nine categories of business model canvas and the connected contents) has been critically evaluated, discussed, and sharpened. At this early phase, financial aspects such as cost structure, revenue streams, and funding have been addressed only superficially (see financing in Section 4). Our approach and the steps described are intended for the initial stage of developing business models. To achieve market maturity, cost-benefit calculations, possible funding, revenues, and costs must be developed separately and in detail, along with risk assessments.

We concentrated on the following questions:

- How is the value created and delivered, and to whom?
- Who are potential partners and customers? Who are the beneficiaries of additional NbS benefits?

Step four: Forming/derivate general characteristics underpinned by best practices

In order to gain general insights from the case-specific information, a market and best practice analysis is the best way to go. In REGREEN, 13 factsheets with NbS-applied case studies have been published following a structured approach and covering key categories: addressed SDGs, objectives, descriptions, challenges, opportunities, lessons learned, inspiration for others, and further information.

Other European Horizon 2020 projects under the same call have been also valuable sources, especially these two platforms with a comparable structure:

- *Network Nature*³ Case Study Finder includes 560 cases: <https://networknature.eu/network-nature-case-study-finder>.
- The Urban Nature Atlas has over 1000 NbS examples from Europe and beyond, and it is an output of the EU H2020 project *Naturvation: Urban Nature Atlas* (una.city).

Step five: Verification through experts in business and NbS

The results available up to this stage have been extensively discussed internally, within Work Package 8, and during REGREEN project meetings.

For our purpose, we have chosen interview partners for their knowledge and experience to assist us in a general evaluation of the market as well as in concrete business model approaches.

³ Network Nature project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 887396.

3 DEFINITIONS AND CONTEXTUALIZATION

For the exploration of the field of business investments in NbS, a few general definitions are necessary. This section clarifies basic terms and concepts that are relevant in the discussion of the NbS market and for business model development.

3.1 NbS, NBE, Natural Capital

Although there is widespread agreement on the definition of NbS and nature-based enterprises (NBEs), the boundaries and what exactly is included in them are not as clear. Nevertheless, one of its strengths is the definitional space it allows to remain compatible with other concepts with a similar goal, such as natural capital or green bonds.

3.1.1 NbS

NbS is an umbrella concept uniting different approaches to pressing issues such as climate change, biodiversity loss, environmental quality at unsafe levels and social inclusion and equity. Further, the multifunctional character of NbS and nature as the core element of solution-finding offer a fresh and promising starting point to find answers for the present and future societal and economic challenges on a local and global level. Linking topics such as ecosystem services, human well-being, and sustainable development, NbS is a connector for politics, society, and the economy on the path of the green transformation.

The European Union (EU) identify NbS as a policy supporting major EU policy priorities, particularly the European Green Deal, the EU Biodiversity Strategy, and the EU Climate Adaptation Strategy (4). Therefore, NbS are part of the EU's research and innovation focus, funded in the program Horizon 2020 and the follow-up program Horizon Europe. The European Commission defines NbS as:

Solutions that are inspired and supported by nature, which are cost-effective, simultaneously provide environmental, social and economic benefits and help build resilience. Such solutions bring more, and more diverse, nature and natural features and processes into cities, landscapes and seascapes, through locally adapted, resource-efficient and systemic interventions (3).

This definition focuses on the diverse benefits of NbS and how it helps build resilience. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) provides an apt and much quoted definition, stronger aligned to challenges and using slightly different terms than the EU's but predefining the same direction:

Nature-based Solutions are actions to protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural and modified ecosystems that address societal challenges effectively and adaptively, simultaneously benefiting people and nature.

Nature-based Solutions address societal challenges through the protection, sustainable management and restoration of both natural and modified ecosystems, benefiting both biodiversity and human well-being. Nature-based Solutions are underpinned by benefits that flow from healthy ecosystems. They target major challenges like climate change, disaster risk reduction, food and water security, biodiversity loss and human health, and are critical to sustainable economic development (5).

Both documents mention explicitly the economic aspect in a rather superficial sense: "economic benefits" (EC) and indirectly via the influence of functioning ecosystems for "sustainable economic development" (IUCN).

3.1.2 NBE

Nature-based enterprises (NBEs) are the relevant actors in the practical implementation of NbS. Their core good is nature, and they are the messengers as well as the deliverers of NbS in a sustainable-oriented market. Koojman et al. (6) define an NBE as:

An enterprise, engaged in economic activity, that uses nature sustainably as a core element of their product/service offering. Here, nature may be engaged directly by growing, harnessing, harvesting, or sustainably restoring natural ecosystems, and/or indirectly by contributing to the planning, delivery or stewardship of nature-based solutions. A nature-based enterprise must contribute positively to biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Sectors in which nature or ecosystem services are used directly are ecosystem creation, restoration and management, NbS for green buildings and public spaces, water management, sustainable agriculture and food production, sustainable forestry and biomaterials, tourism, health, and wellbeing. Examples of indirectly oriented NBEs are advisory services, education, research and innovation activities, financial services, smart technology, and monitoring and assessment of NbS (6).

Obviously, the definition of NBEs is strongly connected to the definition of NbS. From a strictly economic perspective, it seems NBEs may have a disadvantage against conventional competitors because they must have a positive impact on biodiversity and ecosystem services. At second glance, however, the demarcation can mean a long-term advantage. Enterprises from all kinds of sectors have to reorganize and adjust their businesses regarding climate goals, reducing emissions, changing markets, or as part of their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). But this means that to foster NBEs, the regulatory framework, procurement directives for subsidies, and an integration of nature as a capital are key factors in activating private business activities on a large scale.

For more information on the market and sectors of NBEs, please look at [Section 4](#) in this report.

3.1.3 Natural capital

A possible link between the economy and ecosystems is the natural capital approach. The United Nations, EU, and Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) launched a program named "Natural Capital Accounting and Valuation of Ecosystem Services" (NCAVES) to develop and establish a framework that also includes the finiteness of nature goods and could be important for sustainable business decisions and protecting nature. The condensed UN's definition is:

"Natural capital is another term for the stock of renewable and non-renewable resources (e.g. plants, animals, air, water, soils, minerals) that combine to yield a flow of benefits to people" (7).

Here, the term ecosystem is not used explicitly, even though all of the named resources can be seen as ecosystem services. CBD emphasizes that, beside these obvious ecosystem services,

[t]here are also many less visible ecosystem services such as the climate regulation and natural flood defences provided by forests, the billions of tonnes of carbon stored by peatlands, or the pollination of crops by insects. Even less visible are cultural ecosystem services such as the inspiration taken from wildlife and the natural environment (8).

The "[Natural Capitals Coalition](#)" is a global collaboration of over 400 leading organisations working with the "Natural Capital Protocol" and the "Social & Human Capital Protocol". Both protocols are decision-making frameworks that help to identify, measure, and value their impacts and dependencies on natural capital and social/human capital, respectively. The strength of the capital approach is that, beside goods and financial assets (produced capital), nature conservation, human well-being, and social relations are equal and presentable.

3.2 Sustainable business model innovation and archetypes

A business model concept is a potential planning tool for NbS projects, especially in the initial stage of business development, to clarify what the value proposition is, what is important for finding investments, engaging stakeholders, and getting a project started.

Business models are for the public and private sector. There is no strict separation, and hybrid models with flexible, adjusted elements are also possible.

3.2.1 Business models

The business model concept became popular in the 1990s with the dotcom boom, e-commerce and business innovations associated with the new possibilities the internet offered. Originally, it was used as a communication tool to present new, complex business ideas to investors to raise money (9) (10). Porter notes in 2001 that "[t]he definition of a business model is murky at best" (9). Since then, intensive research in this field has taken place, resulting in a wide range of definitions and interpretations emphasizing different aspects. An excellent overview of different definitions is given in a meta-study by Geissdoerfer (11).

Three selected business model definitions include (more definitions are listed in the annex):

- "an architecture of the product, service and information flows, including a description of the various business actors and their roles" (p. 4 (12)).
- "a conceptual framework that helps to link the firm's strategy, or theory of how to compete, to its activities, or execution of the strategy" (p. 138 (13)).
- a "simplified representations of the elements and interactions between these elements that an organisational unit chooses in order to create, deliver, capture, and exchange value" (p. 1218 (14)).

These general statements give an impression of the purpose and strategic relevance of business models. For practical use, the models should be considered in a more differentiated way. Business models can be seen as representations of three core elements and the interactions between them. According to Richardson, 2008 (13), a business model framework would capture these elements:

Value proposition – What is the product or service, and why are customers paying for it?

The value proposition covers the specific offer of the firm or organisation, the sharpening of the target customers, the strategy to win customers, and the unique selling point compared to the competitors.

Value creation and delivery – How to create the proposed value and deliver it to the customers?

Value creation and delivery is also an important aspect to achieve competitive advantages. Firstly, use a strategic tool (e.g. the VRIO analysis, "Valuable, Rare, Inimitable and Organized" by Barney, (15)) to identify resources and capabilities. The organisation, containing the value chain, activity system and business processes, is another aspect. And third, compile links to suppliers, partners, and customers to establish a position in the value network.

Value capture – How to generate revenue, and what are the costs?

Value capture includes economic indicators, revenue sources, and expenses. Here is the crucial point: keep costs under the firm's revenues to stay profitable.

3.2.2 Sustainable business models, business models innovation and archetypes

On the way to moving from a conventional business model to a sustainable business model, we face the same challenges regarding business activities that also apply to NbS in general. The biggest

challenge remains: How to recognize and present the multiple benefits nature delivers? And in addition: How can these become key indicators of economic activity?

Sustainable business models

As defined above, value proposition, value creation/provision and value capture also apply to sustainable business models with an extended value proposition. In addition to the economic values, this particular type of business model also incorporates social and environmental values.

The interest in corporate sustainability issues has increased since the 2000s and continues to grow. It is seen as a response to the growing environmental problems, such as resource depletion, climate change, water and air pollution, as well as social pressure, caused by low economic development and a dynamic population growth. Environmental destruction and high resource consumption have affected policy changes. In addition, growing consumer awareness for sustainable consumption and behaviour is affecting the whole market, as well as corporate activity and sustainable business innovation (16).

Sustainable business models and NbS share the fact that both take a holistic approach to addressing future challenges. Non-monetary value, social embedding, and long-term character are categories to be considered. The following general definition covers this perspective:

Sustainable business models are “business models that incorporate pro-active multi-stakeholder management, the creation of monetary and non-monetary value for a broad range of stakeholders and hold a long-term perspective” (11).

Three additionally selected sustainable business model definitions include (more definitions are listed in the annex):

- a sustainable business model differs from a conventional through four propositions:
 1. The value proposition provides measurable ecological and/or social value in concert with economic value [...].
 2. The supply chain involves suppliers who take responsibility towards their own as well as the focal company's stakeholders [...].
 3. The customer interface motivates customers to take responsibility for their consumption as well as for the focal company's stakeholders [...].
 4. The financial model reflects an appropriate distribution of economic costs and benefits among actors involved in the business model and accounts for the company's ecological and social impacts” (p. 13 (17)).
- a sustainable business model “assists to achieve sustainability through six principles: “Resource efficiency, social relevance, localisation and engagement, longevity, ethical sourcing and work enrichment” (p. 65 (18)).
- “we define a sustainable business model as a simplified representation of the elements, the interrelation between these elements, and the interactions with its stakeholders that an organisational unit uses to create, deliver, capture, and exchange sustainable value for, and in collaboration with, a broad range of stakeholders.” (p. 1219 (14))

Sustainable business models innovation

In literature and practice, an increased interest in sustainable business model innovation is observed (19) (20). New or adapted business models, aligned with sustainability, offer a chance to tackle global issues as a consequence of climate change-related environmental and societal challenges.

Sustainable business models innovation is defined as:

Innovations that create significant positive and/or significantly reduced negative impacts for the environment and/or society, through changes in the way the organisation and its value-network create, deliver value and capture value (i.e. create economic value) or change their value propositions (21).

In literature, sustainable business models innovation can be distinguished according to its aims:

1. Focusing on the characteristics of the model, such as sustainable value creation, proactive multi-stakeholder management, and a long-term perspective.
2. The categorization is made according to the type of innovation: Sustainable start-ups are needed if there is no current model and a new business model has to be created. A sustainable business model transformation happens if the current model is changed into another. Sustainable business model diversification means that the base model stays in place and an additional model is created. If an additional business model is identified and integrated, it corresponds with the type of sustainable business model acquisition.
3. The aim is to create a sustainable business model type, such as circular business models, social enterprises, bottom of the pyramid solutions, or product-service systems.
4. The degree of implementation of one or more sustainable business model strategies (11).

Business model archetypes

Another potential enabler of sustainability and NbS with a helpful framework is the development of archetypes. Originally, archetypes were popular and helpful in organizing consumer communication and product development in marketing and User Experience (UX). In the context of sustainable business development, Brocken et al. (21) established a framework with eight different business model archetypes (BMA), each classified into one of three higher groupings: technological, social, and organisational. The Horizon 2020 project *Naturvation*⁴ used this framework to categorize different urban NbS, focusing on green roofs and urban agriculture. In the following, the original archetypes are described with an example of an NbS value proposition from *Naturvation* (22):

- 1) The archetype "maximise material and energy efficiency" (technological) aims for higher output with fewer resources – e.g. green roofs prolong the life span of roofs and reduce the energy demand of buildings.
- 2) The archetype "create value from waste" (II) (technological) amplifies the idea of using building waste, such as water, heat or organics, for rooftop and building-integrated urban farming.
- 3) The third technological archetype, "substitute with renewables and natural processes," proposes a reduction of heat island effects and improved air quality through green urban spaces.
- 4) An example of the social archetype "deliver functionality rather than ownership" with effects on the environment and human health is to provide access to clean unbottled water instead of bottled water.

⁴ Naturvation project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 730243.

- 5) "Adopt a stewardship role" is another social archetype. Involving local residents in urban community farming helps educate them about the food chain.
- 6) The archetype "encourage sufficiency" could be valuable in a social context if local urban farming helps to combat poverty, foster social inclusion, and provide recreation and green space.
- 7) An organisational archetype is "repurpose for society/environment" with the potential value proposition of installing social enterprises that facilitate self-sustaining urban agricultural initiatives.
- 8) The last archetype, "develop scale-up solutions," is grouped as organisational and could work with the value of providing subsidies for green roofs to encourage private investments in green roofs.

4 NBS MARKET, FINANCING AND POLICIES

In the following, we provide an overview of the NbS market, followed by an expert assessment of the market as a whole and also in the context of policy and regulation. Although the measured figures certainly have some inaccuracies, the following key figures are necessary in order to be able to set up long-term goals and planning horizons at all.

4.1 Market and financing overview

To limit global warming, halt biodiversity loss, stop land degradation, and achieve sustainable development goals, investments from the public and private sectors have to increase massively. The awareness of the need to act—for governments, private companies, and financial institutes—is rising, and the recognition that NbS plays a significant role in this is also increasing. However, the question that arises with a view to current numbers and data is:

How do we raise the missing capital for NbS, and can we manage this in the limited time available?

At this point, a brief overview of the current and needed investments in tackling climate goals and NbS. The second edition of the "State of Finance for Nature" report calls directly for action on the cover of the report: "Time to act: Doubling investment by 2025 and eliminating nature-negative finance flows" (2). Yet, the estimated investments in NbS worldwide needed are USD 384 billion per year by 2025 and USD 484 billion per year by 2030. At the moment, investments in NbS—USD 154 billion per year—are less than half of the required amount. Of the current total investments in NbS, only 17% are financed by private capital (2).




Figure 2: Global investments of NbS, own figure, data UNEP (2)

Total and private investment in NbS needs to increase in the coming years, especially with a different mind-set that focuses on nature-enhancing activities and the added value of NbS. Taxes, Subsidies and other incentives for private sector need to be adjusted to motivate companies and avoid business activities with a negative impact on nature and instead of this to invest in NbS. The current situation where negative cash flows from the public sector into the private sector are three to seven times higher than into the NbS needs to be reversed. One main issue in this context is that “the estimates

are uncertain because capital flows into NbS are not tracked or reported consistently” (2). This important barrier is addressed later in this report.

An excellent expert report on the NbS market, case studies, NbS for a nature-positive economy, and recommendations is "The Vital Role of Nature-Based Solutions in a Nature-Positive Economy" (24), published by the European Commission. It is a reference guide for policymakers and practitioners. 170 stakeholders have been consulted for the report, and all 15 Horizon 2020 projects (also REGREEN), which are or have been members of the EC Taskforce on Nature-Based Economy, contributed. Table 1 below shows a typology of sectors for the economic activities of organisations delivering NbS.

Table 1: Typology of sectors working with NbS

Direct use of nature	
Sector	Sub-Sector
Ecosystem creation, restoration and management	Ecological & landscape restoration
	Ecosystem conservation and management
	Biodiversity conservation
	Reforestation
	Marine and freshwater
	Ecosystem restoration
NbS for green buildings	Marine and freshwater ecosystem conservation and management
	Living green roofs and facades
	Living green wall indoor
NbS for public and urban spaces	Living green walls outdoor
	Green areas, parks and gardens
	Green infrastructure
	Green space management
	Urban forestry
NbS for water management and treatment	Urban regeneration projects
	Natural flood & surface water management
	Urban green and blue infrastructure
	Urban water management
Sustainable agriculture & food production	Wastewater management
	Agroforestry
	Beekeeping
	Horticulture
	Plant and soil improvement
Sustainable forestry and biomaterials	Regenerative farming
	Sustainable forestry
	Biomaterials for construction
Sustainable tourism and health & wellbeing	Biomaterials for food preservation
	NbS for health & wellbeing
	Agritourism
	Eco-tourism and nature-based tourism
Indirect use of nature	Forestry tourism
	Biodiversity and ecosystems
	Advisory services
	Urban greening design & planning
Education, research & innovation activities	Landscape architecture
	Water management Community engagement for NbS
	Ecological research
	Environmental awareness education
Financial services	Research & innovation projects
	Vocational & skills training
	Carbon offsetting
	Investment for biodiversity and conservation

Indirect use of nature	
	Natural capital accounting
Smart technology, monitoring and assessment of NbS	Smart technology solutions for NbS Environmental monitoring Spatial tools for environment

Source: Kooljman et al. 2021 (6)

4.1.1 Experts assessment of the market and financing

Here we provide insights from expert interviews regarding overall investments, the role of private sector, and Chinese market specifics.

Overall Investments

More market thinking should be adopted when it comes to NbS. The investments from the private sector are far too low, but this is not surprising, as an expert has noted. Also, an increase to at least one third or a minimum of 50 billion USD a year, which means to double the actual private investments in NbS, seems reasonable and reachable. This is an estimation by experts, but it seems realistic with regard to "the relative novelty of investing in natural capital and suggests that the investment case, i.e., the return to the investor relative to the level of risk, needs to be stronger" (2).

It is also a question of how to define NbS: if you take NbS investment only into account when it targets climate change, it is reduced compared to also taking other reasons into account. There is investment in nature that is not targeted primarily to solve something but eventually does. Furthermore, the amount of investment also depends on the country's tax system and policies. Financing NbS can also be provided indirectly, through taxes. For example, if companies are charged for not doing anything with their green space, these special taxes could be used for NbS in the city.

In terms of overall investments in NbS, China faces similar challenges to those in Europe:

There needs to be higher investment, but it also has to be clarified what costs NbS will cause compared to the benefits it brings. This aspect has been identified as a main barrier to NbS investments in this report (see [Section 5](#)). Comparable to the situation of many countries in Europe, the main investor in NbS projects in China is also the state. The same question arises: How can a larger financial share be transferred from public to private sector? In addition, it has to be mentioned that China has completely new planned and built cities, and regional differences are huge. Those new cities have a lot more of environmentally friendly thoughts incorporated – an exceptional project of a new city that is still in the planning stage, is [Forest City Liuzhou](#), a futuristic city almost completely covered by plants. More than in Europe, NbS are rather regarded as something of public concern. According to the experiences of the interviewed China expert, NbS in China are perceived as something connected to public or shared space, rather than an investment made by private companies.

Increase private sector involvement

It should be a duty to be concerned about nature as a company. Urban areas are, to a large extent, in private hands (e.g. large housing companies). Therefore, investments also have to be made on a larger scale by private parties and NbS on private property. Furthermore, it is a question of the companies' image, or CSR. Compactly, CSR is defined as "a management concept whereby companies integrate social and environmental concerns in their business operations and interactions with their stakeholders" (25). Companies should develop an environmental conscience. If companies invest in NbS, they also improve their image because of the Co-benefits of NbS, but they also fulfil their social responsibilities. Local or regional anchoring of a company may also play a role in this context. The

Croatian expert interviewed reported that, especially for small companies, commitment to the region could be a reason to spend more money on social concerns.

Following the experience of an expert, working with NbS is a challenge for new companies or start-ups. According to him, it can be hard to run a business, and small companies often do not achieve a big impact compared to the efforts they make. A possible way for such small, project-oriented enterprises is to evaluate and prove their work, and then replicate or scale up. Another option is to make a distinction between whether a company solves its own problems (and has its own benefits) or wants to solve problems that go beyond their businesses (and has other beneficiaries too). If companies invest to tackle their own climate change-related struggles (for example, green facades to reduce heat in a building), the public does not necessarily know about it. This knowledge gap has to be overcome.

Another recommendation by experts is to improve and increase communication on positive aspects of NbS. Companies need to understand the benefits of NbS. Pointing out the advantages of NbS is an important decision criterion for larger investments by private sector companies. For this purpose, a matrix or cost-benefit analysis offers a basis for corporate decision making connected to NbS. A monetary valuation of NbS is helpful and necessary to a certain extent. However, due to the multiple benefits, it can never express the overall environmental and social value of NbS. So far, there are no clear calculations in the monetary category, and it can be doubted whether this will occur.

How to demonstrate the opportunities NbS could offer to the private sector?

There is a need to differentiate between external financial managers, or asset investors, and the investments of companies. It also has to be made clear for investors which projects are seen as 100% sustainable investments. If there is a clear understanding of what a green investment is and what it is not, several possible instruments providing incentives for enterprises are possible. For example, investments could be paid back indirectly by reduced taxes, connection fees, or other public charges.

Furthermore, companies need to be aware that NbS can solve problems for them. An investment in NbS is a possible chance to fight their own climate change-related struggles. But investments still have to guarantee some kind of return on investment. Here we meet one of the main barriers to investing in NbS: valuation and accounting of the multiple benefits of NbS, which have been addressed separately in this report in [Section 5](#).

China

In China, too, the emphasis on the benefits is a means to encourage the private sector.

If NbS really improved the quality of life, people might be willing to contribute. An improvement in property values through NbS could attract more private capital. Our experts report that big Chinese companies have set up a fund for CSR strategies, and a part of this money goes to NbS projects. Like in Europe, also in China, sustainability becomes increasingly a question of a positive brand image for companies to be market competitive, and companies have to take steps in this direction. Overall, the private sector is less important in China. In urban planning and development, there is also rising demand for private investors, but the government is of higher relevance and power compared to Europe.

4.2 Policies and regulations

According to experts, the most effective approach to increasing investments in NbS lies in rules, programs, and guidelines at the EU or national political level. With the Green Deal and circularity as core topics of EU policymaking, the EU is creating new transformational pathways to a sustainable future. These drivers are also very important for the implementation of NbS. Fostering sustainable investment and thus development, one of the most important vehicles is the EU taxonomy. However, it is not the purpose to establish some kind of sanction system. The overall intention is to create a framework to improve the interaction between the private and public sectors to facilitate and promote bottom-up activities.

“The EU taxonomy is a cornerstone of the EU’s sustainable finance framework and an important market transparency tool that helps direct investments to the economic activities most needed for the transition” (26).

New EU Legislatives like the EU-Taxonomy and Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) are seen as aspects with a possibly huge impact on the private NbS sector. As they are strengthening the climate responsibility and sustainable behaviour of EU-based companies.

As defined by the EU, the taxonomy is a "transparency tool based on a classification system translating the EU’s climate and environmental objectives into criteria for specific economic activities for private investment purposes" (27). Setting the technical evaluation criteria, the taxonomy determines to what extent economic activities within the EU entail significant impacts on the EU environment and climate objectives, as well as determining that an essential contribution to climate protection or adaptation to climate change shall be made. It specifies six climate and environmental objectives (28):

- Climate change mitigation
- Climate change adaptation
- The sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources
- The transition to a circular economy
- Pollution prevention and control
- The protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems

Contributing to most of those objectives, NbS might gain more importance for companies in the near future. While the taxonomy is already being realised but targeting only major companies in the financial and industrial sectors, the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) defined by the Environmental Sustainability Standards (ESRS) will create even more attention to the topic in the next few years, as it will stepwise also target medium and small-sized enterprises.

By defining binding reporting standards at EU level for the first time in Europe, the CSRD aims to close existing gaps in reporting requirements and expand sustainability reporting overall. Companies will be required to report on the influence of their own company regarding the same issues as in the taxonomy specified in ESRS 1+2 and also concerning supply chains and social aspects. The reporting requirements will take effect between 2024 and 2028, depending on the size of the concerned company.

Experts’ assessment of policies and regulations

All experts emphasised that policies need to drive action. In international comparison, the policymaking in Europe is going well. It is necessary to evaluate tax systems and regulations on different levels (EU, national, and local) without neglecting the connection between the levels.

At EU-level, regulations for green investments, green bonds, and green washing are located. Banks, financial institutes, and other financially strong companies, on the one hand, have to have clear advantages when investing in NbS; on the other hand transparency has to be granted on all stages. But the EU also has to provide a framework that gives freedom of action and choice to national and local levels without loosening mandatory standards. Furthermore, in regulations, there is a need to differentiate between investors and the investments of companies. The EU taxonomy, described above, is the most important piece of regulation because it defines what is legitimately sustainable in the economy. NbS has to be integrated into the EU taxonomy. If NbS is included, it guarantees that investing in NbS is a sustainable Investment. In addition, the CSRD has a positive impact, especially for corporations and larger enterprises to keep up a high ambition level. This could help boost investments because it makes the subject relevant to the financial sector and puts market pressure on companies that ignore sustainability aspects.

The EU taxonomy and CSRD are part of the EU "Sustainable Finance Framework". It also includes the "Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation" (SFDR) and the "Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence" (CSDD). These directives and regulations are key to defining standards for businesses to act sustainably. They are very important because they drive further accountability and transparency. Transparency is a major issue because it also brings comparability to the market. A gap that is not covered by CSRD affects smaller companies for whom it doesn't apply. For them, there should also be an obligation to provide evidence of sustainable behaviour.

At national level, the approach 'encourage rather than punish' is to recommend. Companies, as well as municipalities, investing more in NbS than others should have advantages in form of lower taxes or other financial vehicles. This is preferable to charges and penalties. Furthermore, NbS need to be included into the accounting of enterprises, which would become relevant for their value creation. Another approach is to separate the benefits and show who are the beneficiaries of NbS interventions. This would help share responsibility, but leads to the challenge of valuation and accounting, which is discussed in [Section 6](#).

Political support is very important on all levels to move NbS forward and also to have a positive connection between the topics of climate resilience, sustainability, and climate change adaptation. It is also necessary to improve and increase communication on positive aspects and to point out the advantages of NbS, which is an important point for larger investments by the private sector. In this context, it would be helpful if cities had communication strategies with companies and a portfolio of successful projects to convince them to contribute to or invest in NbS. Overall, the communication between public and private has to be continuous and reliable because there is no clear dividing line: private investments will also have social benefit, and vice versa.

China

In China, the different levels of government do not always have the same interests. Especially on local levels, there is strong local interest, and local politicians always question what benefit such projects would generate. The general awareness at the city level of administration is not that high. The need for sharing experience and argumentation for the value of NbS is high. The understanding of NbS and visions regarding their role in urban areas are somehow different than in Europe. NbS is seen positively when it is part of economic development. In that sense, the Chinese way can be summarized as 'balance over compromise'. This means a green area offers social and environmental benefits but also allows income generation within this area, which enables private sector involvement but is controlled and regulated by state law.

The EU-Taxonomy is a role model for China in building up a nationwide framework. The Chinese state is at an earlier stage, but similar mechanisms have been developed or are in development: Guidelines for financial services, as well as specific standards and guidelines for green bonds and green loans (all developed by the People's Bank of China). A CSRD is upcoming but still in an early phase. Green finance is a big topic globally. China is at the top of global investments in green finance. There is no clear global standard definition of what green investments are. The understanding and definition of what constitutes a green investment might be a bit different in China, but there is a lot of budget available for projects supporting environmental projects. The Chinese market for green bonds is still relatively young. By international comparison, the Chinese green bond standards are less green than others. However, there is a strong dynamic in this market, and the development is not over yet.

Excursus on Green Bonds

Green bonds are seen as one of the financial instruments that play an essential role in financing the green transformation. A bond, in finance, is a loan contract issued by a borrower, who wants to acquire money, and lenders, or bondholders. The borrower can be a local, state, or national government, as well as private corporations, and there are some obligations associated with the issuance of bonds: paying interest on the debts (mostly twice a year), paying back the debts according to a predefined schedule, and the complete value of the bond at maturity. Bonds are rated on the basis of the creditworthiness of governments, municipalities, or corporations (e.g., Standard & Poor's or Moody's Investors Service), and in general, they run from AAA to D. While bonds with a rating below BBB are considered "junk", offering higher interest rates but also a higher risk of default, bonds with better ratings are seen as solid and safe investments (29).

Green bonds are designed to support sustainable development by financing environmentally friendly projects. They are seen as a powerful financial instrument to achieve sustainable development goals and, overall, to raise capital for necessary investments in climate adaptation and for changes in business practices with respect to reduced CO₂ emissions (30).

The relevance of green bonds is constantly increasing since the first green bond, named the Climate Awareness Bond, was issued in 2007 by the European Investment Bank (EIB) (30). In 2008, the World Bank first issued green bonds labelled this way. Since then, the volume of the market has grown from USD 1.5 billion in 2007 to USD 582.4 billion in 2021. Due to difficult global market conditions, the size of the market decreased to USD 487.1 billion in 2022. In 2022, the largest Green Bond came from the European Union with a volume of USD 6.5 billion. The Use of Proceed (UoP) specifies for which fields the bond is to be used to finance. A closer look at these uses shows why green bonds play an important role in financing NbS: (1) Research and innovation activities supporting the green transition; (2) Digital technologies supporting the green transition; (3) Energy efficiency; (4) Clean energy and networks; (5) Climate change adaptation; (6) Water and waste management; (7) Clean transport and infrastructure; (8) Nature protection, rehabilitation, and biodiversity; (9) Other enabling. The countries leading the global green bond market are China (USD 85.4 billion), the USA (USD 64.4 billion), and Germany (USD 61.2 billion) (31).

Several frameworks define green bonds. There are many national and regional regulatory, and in a global context, The Green Bond Principles (GBP) and a certification of The Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI) are of particular significance. The GBP are voluntary guidelines for the issuance of green bonds, published by the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA). The principles, in short, are: use of funds, selection and evaluation of projects, fund management, and reporting (32). There has to be a clear environmental benefit to the project; the categories of relevant projects are similar to the UoPs in the paragraph mentioned above.

The Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI) is an international organisation promoting investments in projects and assets for green transformation and a climate-resilient economy. It is investor-focused and not-for-profit-oriented. With the Climate Bond Taxonomy and a standard for climate bond certification, CBI offers safety in planning and evaluation, which is very important because green washing is always an issue when it comes to green bonds.

As part of the European Green Deal and in accordance with the EU Taxonomy, the European Parliament has agreed on new green bond standards (33). This should help public and private entities raise funds on the capital markets to finance their green projects. The objectives are better assessment and comparability of sustainable investments, as well as an increase in trust. It is also intended to make green washing more difficult.

5 MAIN BARRIERS FOR FINANCING NBS AND HOW TO OVERCOME

In the next few years, increasing investments from the public and private sectors will be key to reaching an adequate level of climate adaptation and mitigation, especially in urban regions. Strategies for mobilising finance for urban NbS need the joint effort and coordination of governments, private and public organisations and firms, and the general public.

From literature and discussions in REGREEN, we extracted two general barriers to financing NbS:

1. Coordination of private and public investors, and
2. Valuation and accounting for benefits of urban NbS

For the expert interviews, we prepared a Google Jamboard with different statements (based on (22)) to overcome these obstacles. The interlocutors were asked to sort these cards according to their priority, from low to high.

The cards for "How to overcome the barrier 'coordination of private and public investors'?" included:

- Adjust tax rates per geographical location
- Capture increases in land values through lease charges and connection fees
- A public-private investment strategy is needed for investments in NbS projects at the city level
- A diverse group of partners and financiers supported by state money
- Balance costs and benefits between the public, businesses, and citizens
- Starting an NbS intervention with a demo or pilot phase
- Alternative financing schemes, like Crowdfunding
- Social/environmental impact bond schemes (to shift the risks of reaching climate goals from the public to the private sector / investor)

The cards for „How to overcome the barrier of valuation and accounting for the benefits of urban NbS" included:

- Identifying costs and benefits, resulting in a net present value.
- Including factors like quality of life and job creation.
- Natural capital, ecosystem services, and ecological footprint base accounting.
- NbS are not traditional assets; they should be treated as infinite.
- Accounting for the value of ecosystems at the national and firm level.
- Better integration of new information technologies for better data.
- How you communicate the results can make all the difference in NbS uptake (continuously).

Table 2: Main barriers for financing NbS

Main Barriers	Solutions							
Coordination of private and public investors	Adjust tax rates per geographical location	Capture increases in land values through leases and connection fees	Public/private investment strategy needed for investments in NbS projects on city level	A diverse group of partners and financiers supported by state money	Balance costs and benefits between public, businesses and citizens	Starting a NbS - intervention with a demo or pilot phase	Alternative financing schemes, like Crowdfunding	Social/environmental impact bond schemes (to shift risks of reaching climate goals from public to private)
Validate and account the multiple benefits of NBS	Identifying costs and benefits, resulting in a net present value	Include factors, like quality of life and job creation	Natural capital, ecosystem services and ecological footprint base accounting	NbS are not traditional assets, they should be treated as infinite	Accounting the value of ecosystems at national and firm level	Better integration of new information technologies for better data	How you communicate the results can make all the difference of NBS uptake (continuously)	

Note: Range from dark-green = highly rated to grey = low rated

There is no clear majority among experts on which actions or regulations are most helpful to overcome the issue of coordination between private and public investors. However, there are tendencies from which two insights can be derived:

1. When starting an NbS project, it is crucial to form a diverse group of partners and financiers and to have a balanced group of stakeholders: private and public, science and business, professional experts, and (local) laypeople. A coordinator can be the first point of contact for technical questions, monitor schedules and work plans, and also act as a mediator between parties if any problems arise. Depending on the funding system, these groups can be supported by state or city money (especially in the initial phase) when organisational and quality standards of the NbS projects are met. In this context, public structures have to be more agile. You will need a prioritised working group through all the city departments that acts agilely and has the job of coordinating, communicating, and organising. A reliable method to gain data and experience is through demos or pilot phases to start an NbS project. Our experts evaluated this controversial method as a good way to achieve the mentioned purpose when there is a lack of information, but with the limitation that it will not solve the overall coordination problem.
2. Not completely separated from the first point, but with a different emphasis, are investment plans, which include all funding opportunities and long-term benefits. Working investment strategies and business models for urban NbS interventions are still underdeveloped. It has to be a joint effort for the public and private sectors, as well as scientists who collect and prepare data, to advance this issue. Here, ‘think global and act local’, can be interpreted in this way: Collect information on financing, the early stages of projects, and finance-related experiences made in NbS projects all over the world, and use comparable experiences for your purpose. But if you are making an investment strategy for your city, be aware that the planned NbS project is authentic and has a local reference, ideally with historical or cultural importance. This is not only supportive for communicating the project but also for activating and bringing together the local public and private players.

The expert’s favourite statements to overcome the second barrier of valuation and accounting show clearer directions:

1. An accounting system has to be established based on natural capital, ecosystem services, or ecological footprint. The essence is to bring renewable and non-renewable resources into accounting; only then can the use of nature be organised in such a way that wasting resources becomes less attractive (and profitable) and the careful use of ecosystem services is rewarded. The natural capital approach (see [Section 3.1.3](#)) is a promising strategy to bring less visible ecosystem services into accounting, as well as social and cultural values, with respect to long-term benefits to people, such as health and well-being resulting from functioning ecosystems. An accounting system that captures ecosystem services this way should function at the national, city, or firm level. This would offer planning and decision-making certainty for political or private sector actors.
2. Our experts also rated the statement "identifying costs and benefits, resulting in a net present value" highly. It is one of the main challenges in financing NbS to evaluate the multiple benefits of NbS and define a standardised procedure for evaluation. In business model development, a viable path is to distinguish between environmental, societal, economic, and other benefits. An often neglected aspect is maintenance and service costs. The complex interplay of multiple benefits and a realistic longer-term cost estimate are the first challenges for which research still has to be done. The second one, which builds on this, is to express these indicators in terms of a net present value. In this direction, there is certainly a long way to go.

For China, the previously mentioned barriers apply in a similar way, with varying emphasis. However, two peculiarities can be observed:

1. Understanding of NbS and visions regarding their role in urban areas are somehow different than in Europe. NbS are seen positively when they are part of economic development.
2. Aspects of governance are hurdles for more investments in NbS. Government employees sometimes tend to be quite resistant to change. If projects can get labels attached to their projects, then they are much more likely to get support from the government, which also helps them find financiers.

6 REGREEN BUSINESS MODELS APPROACHES

The REGREEN business models described below do not contain data on costs and revenues. Although they have been partly collected with business model canvas, these are sensitive data and should be treated as confidential.

The cases are based on actual business models with which our partners in the ULLs have gained experience. The models were further developed with the help of a business model canvas and in discussions. It is important to say that the boundaries between the models are fluid, and there are hybrid models that are possible.

6.1 Public-private driven model

The basis for the first model is a large tree planting project that has been running for several years throughout Denmark. It is a clear partnership between public and private parties, giving different options for how private parties can participate.

6.1.1 Outline of the REGREEN business model case

The purpose of Growing Trees Network is afforestation on land owned by municipalities, churches, the Danish Nature Agency or private people in Denmark and abroad to secure ground water, climate protection, and CO₂ reduction, and furthermore:

- Afforestation on land owned by companies, organisations, and private individuals with public access and where future maintenance is secured in an agreement with the project owner.
- Afforestation on municipality and state-owned land to make Denmark greener.
- To provide financial support for municipalities and the state buying land on top of groundwater reservoirs for fast protection.
- Afforestation in tropic and sub-tropical countries through projects run by us or in conjunction with other tree-planting NGOs.

Value proposition

- Private companies support a specific afforestation project, maybe in their local area, as part of their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) without offsetting CO₂.
- Or private companies are offered a way to offset their CO₂-emissions through afforestation projects. In both cases, the areas are publicly accessible.

Key Activities

- Cooperation with, e.g., municipalities or Danish Nature Agency around afforestation projects.
- Fitting customers with the right afforestation project.
- Telling the story.
- Dialogue with potential customers.

Key Resources

- Knowledge on offsetting CO₂
- Networking-skills
- Accounting
- Good at telling the story of Growing Trees Network and their value proposition
- Knowledge on afforestation

Key Partners

- Municipalities/Danish Nature Agency
- Partners with knowledge on CO₂-offsetting
- Wholesaler of trees

Customers

- Private companies, with a green profile/need for CO₂-off-setting
- Individuals, direct and personal relations.

Beneficiaries

- Local residents (recreational, health, engagement in small nature elements in the forest, rising house prices)
- Municipality (securing groundwater, enhancing biodiversity, providing recreational value for citizens, reducing health costs)

Financing

The land is provided by municipalities, churches, the Danish Nature Agency, or private individuals. Companies pay a fixed price per tree. How many companies buy depends on their self-chosen donation model, e.g., plant one tree per product sold, per employee, or reduce one's carbon footprint. The income goes to 80% to the project owner, and 20% stays in the "Growing Trees Network. Included are the preparation of the land, purchase of plants, planting of the trees, two years of maintenance, and guaranteeing the replacement of trees.

A strength of the model is the transparency it offers regarding the price per tree, how money is spent, and what is included. But this can also become a disadvantage: Increasing prices for the trees, higher maintenance costs, or other unexpected price increases (e.g., due to inflation) must be sufficiently taken into account for a one-time payment. Two solutions are possible: Higher prices per tree to cover unforeseen expenditures or a longer-term involvement of the private partners with a still-needed design concept.

Characteristics

- It brings together private and public interests. There is a sharing of responsibility and risks for NbS in financing, implementation, and maintenance. The function of the private party may vary in scope and involve different fields of activities, such as design, build or rehabilitate, finance, maintain, or operate.
- Due to the diversity of the parties, organisation, communication, and sharing of responsibility can be difficult. The organisation of a project can be challenging.
- Because of their different financial structures, it can be hard to coordinate the evaluation of public and private projects.
- For the private sector, there are higher financial resources available to implement larger projects or to reduce their own capital investment and thus reduce the risk.

6.1.2 Best practices

Zorrotzaurre, Bilbao (Spain)

Description

Zorrotzaurre is an excellent example of a public-private partnership on a larger scale. The aim of the project is to build a new flood-proof district in Bilbao. The affected area is 130.000 m². The plan is to convert a former industrial peninsula with high flood risk into a safe and green residential area with affordable rents. 50% of the land is reserved for social housing for a certain time, even if privately financed. The rest of the industry is environmentally friendly. Two-thirds of the area are nature spaces reserved for public use. There are also measures against flooding: Opening up a canal, elevating the ground level, construction of a flood protection wall, and three storm water tanks (34).

How they got started

A commission as a private organisation was founded, including private and public landowners. This management board made the decisions. The whole redevelopment started in 2017 and will last for three decades. It is part of the city masterplan 2050, and the first step of flood protection is done (34).

Financing

The overall project is divided into single projects on a smaller or larger scale. These projects have different shareholdings and stakeholders, so the financing varies between city, province, state and private companies (34).

Urban Agroforestry, Montpellier (France)

Description

Montpellier is a city located in the mediterranean-subtropical area in the south of France. As a result of climate change, agriculture will increasingly face pressures caused by drought and heat waves. To prepare for the impact of climate change on regional agriculture, suitable adaptation measures are being tested in Montpellier. Alternatives to conventional monoculture, such as the cultivation of mixed crops and species as in agroforestry, are considered to be much more resilient to climate change. In Montpellier, this approach is to be implemented as part of the SAFE project (Silvoarable Agroforestry for Europe), which is funded by the EU's Common Agricultural Policy and implemented together with local stakeholders. In the case of Montpellier, a combination of walnut trees and wheat cultivation was chosen. It protects the environment and has an obvious benefit for the landscape. In addition, it ensures a better use of environmental resources and the diversification of agriculture. Farmers can secure and increase their incomes by offering different products. They are also less dependent on cultivation subsidies and are less vulnerable to fluctuations in crop prices. The advantage for nature protection is that soil and water quality can improve, while farmers benefit because the risk of damage from (wind) erosion and flood damage is limited (35) (36).

How they get started

The project started in 2014. Various stakeholders from France, the Netherlands, and Greece were involved in the SAFE project. In France, APCA (the national chamber of agriculture in France) was the organiser for agroforestry projects. The project was implemented together with local stakeholders (35) (36).

Financing

The project was entirely founded by the EU SAFE project but is thought to create local profit (35).

6.1.3 Recommendations

In the early stages of a project, incentives that strengthen the financial basis encourage companies and people to join.

Planning and organisation of schemes and strategies need to be developed precisely prematurely to ensure long-term maintenance.

Working together effectively, building trust and understanding what the other partners want, may require a lot of time. A facilitator, coordinator or expert can overcome this barrier and mediate between the interests of the different parties. If necessary, this person can be publicly funded to support the successful implementation of a project.

Also, if a project starts as a private-public model, management and maintenance can be secured in the long term via the private stakeholders, as the stakeholders have become aware of the value of the solution and benefit from it.

Public Private Partnerships pose the possibility of value creation in both private-financial and public-non monetary benefits. If private companies experience the profitable benefits caused, this can show the path for private investors to scale up their investments in NbS even without public investment.

6.2 Commercially driven consultancy model

The second model is a purely private business.

6.2.1 Outline of the REGREEN business model case

NatureX (fictional name) is a purpose-driven company that aims to increase biodiversity by creating wild gardens and proposing new nature management techniques.

NatureX assists municipalities, housing associations, and private companies in the transformation of their green spaces into NbS that focus on increased biodiversity and water management and help communicate the process and positive impact of increased nature quality. The company offers different services with different foci, depending on the expertise available in the company: changes in nature management, landscape design, dissemination and communication, workshops, and events.

Key activities

- Changes in nature management
 - Plan of maintenance
 - Cost analysis
 - Advisory on new machinery, tools, and other
 - Monitoring the biodiversity efforts
- Landscape design
 - Research and site analysis
 - Project management
 - Advisory on landscape design
- Dissemination and communication
 - Signs
 - Photos
 - Communication material
- Workshops and events
 - Workshops for residents and employees
 - Training workshops for gardeners

- Public/private presentations
- Events

Value proposition

- Increasing biodiversity by rewilding areas
- Cost-effective by relocating already allocated nature or green spaces nature
- Training programs for gardeners
- Accompanying and monitoring the transformation process

Key Resources

- Biologists
- Landscape architects
- Communicators
- Office inventory

Key partners

- Municipalities
- Housing associations
- Suppliers (trees, plants)
- Gardeners and construction workers
- Network of professionals (designer, programmer, adviser, marketer)

Customers

- Municipalities
- Housing associations
- Private companies (architecture companies and organisations with access to outdoor/green spaces)

Beneficiaries

- Environmental: The more space is renatured the better the environmental impact (heat reduction, biodiversity increase, water management facilitation, etc.).
- Social inclusion: The residents of social housing benefit from green spaces mentally, physically, and socially. Urban nature spaces can strengthen social connection, and there are also positive impacts on people's sense of quality of life.
- Economical: Budgets from existing nature management can be relocated, which makes transformations cost-effective.
- Others: More green spaces in socially vulnerable areas decrease criminal activity and give a higher sense of safety.

Financing

- Consultancy taxes or complete packages for housing associations
- Fees for public talks, workshops, and events.

Characteristics

- Knowledge-based consultancy, flexible with regard to individual possibilities and needs
- NbS as a niche or extension of the portfolio of an existing enterprise
- Financial value creation is needed in a sustainable and responsible way
- Innovative power due to market pressure

The above-mentioned activities are costly. Bigger companies have small consulting departments themselves. An independent consultancy would counteract greenwashing and act as a controlling authority.

6.2.2 Best practices

Ovilleo Wetland, Lille (France)

Description

In the context of renovation work, a French wastewater treatment company invested in the artificial creation of a natural wetland. More than 20,000 trees and other plants have been planted in an area of about 7 hectares (69,000 m²). Also, an educational garden and a green roof and façade are included in the project, which is also why it has been supported by regional and national authorities (37) (38).

How they got started

The project has been led by Veolia Water Technologies (a big European infrastructure company). The project has been implemented according to the French national Biodiversity Strategy, the "Grenelle Environment" plan, and the National Sustainable Development Strategy, but also takes local policies into account (39).

“The Green House”, Antwerpen (Belgium)

Description

This greening project resulted in the first green wall to be built in the city of Antwerpen, Belgium. In 2016, a private real estate company decided to green the façade of a building near the centre of the city. The "greenhouse" in Antwerpen is part of a real estate company's future-oriented campaign consisting of three greenhouse projects located in Brussels, Mechelen, and Antwerpen (40) (41). About 50,000 plants were used for the office building, and the greening has been carried out by two companies specializing in NbS for the building sector. The plants are watered by a pump using harvested rainwater from an underground water tank (42) (43).

The solution is able to regulate temperatures and reduce air pollution. Thereby contributing to lowering the effects of urban heat islands, rainwater management, urban biodiversity, and urban air quality. Also, the energy consumption of the building and noise pollution can be reduced. Meanwhile, there is also an image effect for the company investing in a climate-friendly solution. The company also argues that wellbeing is a core element of their NbS, creating a pleasant working environment in the office building (43) (44).

How they got started

The project was started and realized in 2016. It was a private investment made by a company, which got implemented by two NbS-specialized companies (40).

Financing

The project was not funded or supported by national or public utilities or the EU. It was a direct investment from a company that simply decided to apply NbS within the office building. The investment relates to the strategic market positioning of the investing real estate company as a future-oriented company focused on sustainability and wellbeing for their customers (43).

6.2.3 Recommendations

Publicly funded independent consultants encourage and support smaller companies on the way to installing NbS.

The network of professionals available to a consulting agency makes it easier to find the right partners and companies and can thus accelerate NbS projects. Their expertise also leads to efficient implementation.

A grant scheme organises a part of the consultancy market for NbS.

Support for (smaller) private enterprises: a contact point where questions regarding financing, loans, subsidies, grants, rights, and obligations are answered.

6.3 Citizen driven model

6.3.1 Outline of the REGREEN business model case

The third model differs fundamentally from the other two cases. It is not primarily aimed at companies but rather at local citizens. It is a bottom-up implementation of NbS by private entities. Nevertheless, municipalities or real estate companies are desirable, and in the longer term, at least partly, they are also necessary partners. A local community, neighbourhood initiative, or citizens want to improve an existing place or want to install an NbS or GI.

In this specific case, there is an existing grey place with a few shrubs and small trees in poor condition. It is an unpopular and shunned place by local citizens. Local citizens have the idea to redesign to have a healthier public place where children can also play in a natural environment. Citizens bring in knowledge and time, but would need to be accompanied by experts.

Citizens bring in manpower and helping hands for construction and maintenance in the first years. Then the city takes over, like for other parks.

Value proposition

- Increase social and environmental value by donating
- Increase value of property
- Fostering the social cohesion and exchange in the neighbourhood

Key Activities

- Creating a little park with a playground in the neighbourhood
- Campaigning for funding

Key Resources

- (In best case) the city provides the space to upgrade
- Crowdfunding platform

Key Partners

- City, municipality
- Community
- Landscape architecture

Customers

- Local citizens

Beneficiaries

- Citizens
- Municipality
- House owners
- Real estate companies

Financing

Raising funds for a project through the donation of small amounts from a large number of individuals. Crowdfunding is a way to do this. If there is an existing platform, this will be used. A new platform would require additional financial resources for building, maintaining, and promoting it.

Characteristics

- Bottom-up initiatives and local stakeholders
- Greater commitment due to personal involvement and co-creation with citizens
- Needs a group of like-minded people to bring in time, manpower, and knowledge
- Support needed: setup and maintenance of a platform, promotion, experts
- Different types of crowdfunding are possible: based on donation, reward, lending, or equity

6.3.2 Best practices

City of Gent (Belgium) – Crowdfunding platform for realizing climate-change adaptation through urban greening

Description

Gent is a city in Flanders, in the northeastern part of Belgium. Also, climate change is starting to have a negative impact on the city. Excessive heat waves and heat stress are problems for the city. Since greening the city can help reduce such heat stress, Ghent is very active in implementing green solutions (45). Alongside a lot of other greening initiatives, an urban crowdfunding platform has been developed by the city to allow citizens to propose and fund projects to green the city (46); (47). The platform has now enabled two projects to be successfully implemented: one promotes urban agriculture, and the other realizes the greening of a street with edible plants. The crowdfunding platform has proven to be an effective tool for successively achieving larger effects with small individual climate adaptation projects (47).

How they have got started

The setup of the platform was initiated, financed, and implemented by the city itself. Projects that want to receive funding through the platform have to propose their ideas to the city and then get access. The project was originally meant to run from 2015 to 2019, but has been expanded and is still running with a lot of projects in the pipeline (47); (48).

Financing

During the first year (2015) of the Crowdfunding Gent platform, total revenues of EUR 70,000 were successfully raised. More than 3/4 of the total number of projects received enough funding for implementation. The city itself provided grants for two projects amounting to a total of EUR 1480. But the city also invested in the maintenance of the platform, which required both fixed and recurring costs. In addition, an internal project manager has been engaged in order to act as a central point of contact on behalf of the city. Although the possibility of additional public funding is offered, it does not seem to be an important motivator for project initiators to apply. Other benefits, such as the publicity generated by publishing the project on the platform, seem to be a more crucial factor in deciding whether or not to apply. In addition, organisations, such as companies, foundations, and associations, can make a direct deposit into the account of the project and thus claim the expenses for tax purposes (47).

The Garden Factory, Utrecht (Netherlands)

Description

The example is a community vegetable garden on the roof of the central station in the city centre of Utrecht. Today, citizens of the neighbourhood around the Central Station, students, an elementary school, and the garden designer are responsible for maintaining the garden factory and are supported by the city of Utrecht and the company from which the idea came. The project is meant to produce food in an urban environment, and due to the founders' targets, it will become the biggest project producing food on an urban roof. It is not only a space-saving production of organic food, helps manage storm water, and serves a biodiversity-increasing habitat, but also has social and educational value (49).

How they get started

The idea to create a rooftop garden originally came from employees of a nearby company. The project's activities are self-initiated and shared between different volunteer actors (50).

Financing

The project was financed by a crowdfunding initiative. Nonfinancial goods have been donated by volunteers (voluntary labour) and partly by the city (goods) (49).

6.3.3 Recommendations

Integrate local, cultural specifics or identity into the project so that people want to be a part of it and feel it is a project that belongs to them.

Construct a participation structure in collaboration with the people on site.

Support for (smaller) private enterprises: a contact point where questions regarding financing, loans, subsidies, grants, rights, and obligations are answered.

7 CONCLUSION

The NbS market doesn't have clear boundaries and cannot be seen isolated from already existing markets. Even though the figures estimating the necessary total investments are approximate, it is clear that the total amount must increase. Achieving this requires the commitment of private and public stakeholders as well as active citizen participation. However, we face a challenge in balancing the economic interests of private actors with the social responsibility of public entities, who are tasked with creating a healthy and accessible living environment for people. This raises the additional question of the extent to which functioning ecosystems should be considered common goods or private property. These, at first glance, conflicting interests are reflected in the main barriers to financing NbS and have influenced the design of the three distinct business models. It is clear that it is not just about the pure investment figures but, above all, how the diverse benefits of NbS are recognised and taken into account.

Both discussed main barriers for financing NbS, the coordination of private and public investors and the valuation and accounting for the benefits of urban NbS, which are inseparately linked, result from this conflict. Therefore, solutions and business opportunities to increase investments can also not be one-dimensional but need different approaches with appropriate framework conditions. The three business models were selected in this contrasting manner in order to offer solutions to these challenges.

For a public-private driven model, the aim should be to achieve a balanced and fair relationship between the parties. On the one hand, the limited space should be made liveable and accessible for the general public, and on the other hand, opportunities for private economic activities should be made possible. A public-private driven model is particularly suitable for larger or medium-sized projects at the regional or city level. Clear investment strategies and a balance of costs and benefits between the public, businesses, and citizens are important here. Necessary for this is a reliable system of validation and accounting for the multiple benefits of NbS. One approach described in the prospectus is "Natural Capital Accounting and Valuation of Ecosystem Services", which follows a similar direction, like accounting for ecosystem services or ecological footprint bases. It is essential to evaluate tax systems and regulations on different governance levels without neglecting the connection between them. At the moment, there is no binding and generally applicable system. However, in order to provide clarity and security for private individuals, regulations would be necessary that are valid at the international, national and local levels and that take into account not only economic but also social and environmental benefits.

This aspect is even more important for purely private-driven business models. Here we have two conceivable paths. One concerns already existing real estate developers or housing associations that develop or upgrade properties, for example, with more green or better protection against flooding, in order to acquire higher revenues. However, these investments are oriented towards economic parameters; social and environmental benefits play no or only a subordinate role. Gentrification of residential neighbourhoods, less accessible areas for the general public, or more land sealing can be the result. Clear legal requirements regarding building standards and how land is used would counteract this. The second path represents commercially driven models in which an established validation and accounting system that is aligned with the multiple benefits of NbS is part of the cost-benefit calculation. Our outlined commercially driven consulting model includes this added value. Such a model can cover niches in existing enterprises or specialise in the improvement of existing areas thanks to its knowledge advantage in the area of NbS and flexibility.

The third model focuses on local citizens and their engagement in their immediate living environment. The prerequisites for this are personal initiative and the willingness to contribute time resources. Such a model focuses primarily on social and environmental improvements in one's own neighbourhood. The appropriation of public space, active participation, and non-monetary values are central to this model. It is suitable on a smaller scale and project-oriented. Support is needed from the city or other landowners who make the land available. For municipalities, this can be an opportunity to acquire extra investment for NbS for which no money would otherwise be available in the city budget. Crowdfunding is one way of financing such smaller projects. This requires a platform, and it may make sense for larger municipalities to provide one for their citizens. A portal is also conceivable, in which ideas can be submitted and discussed, as well as for networking among citizens for specific projects. The disadvantage of this model is that the property owner and project initiators are different, and therefore the financing and responsibilities for further care and maintenance are unclear. If the property is owned by the municipality, clear rules are needed, such as agreements on the period of time over which project participants or the city are responsible for it.

This prospectus is intended to highlight opportunities for business activities in NbS and to stimulate discussion about possible regulations and how investment could be increased. The view from a business model perspective has a certain narrowness, but despite this, the described approach to developing business models for NbS offers an initial framework, especially for the early stage of business development.

There are several directions for future in-depth research on barriers and potentials for financing NbS. It is conceivable to analyse horizontal and vertical governance levels in terms of existing and potential regulations, responsibilities, and coordination. The problem of valuation and accounting for the benefits of NbS with regard to comparable meaningful values is another aspect that can be examined in more detail. Also, the different orientations of the welfare state in this context cannot be ignored. It would be interesting to see to what extent rules and regulations, as well as the importance of private property or public goods, differ in liberal or social democratic systems and what influence this has on financing mechanisms.

8 REFERENCES

1. Urban Development. Overview. [Online] 2023. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/urbandevelopment/overview>.
2. United Nations Environment Programme. *State of Finance for Nature. Time to act: Doubling investment by 2025 and eliminating nature-negative finance flows*. Nairobi: UNEP, 2022. <https://wedocs.unep.org/20.500.11822/41333>.
3. European Commission. Research and Innovation. Nature-based solutions. [Online] n.d. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/research-area/environment/nature-based-solutions_en.
4. —. Research and Innovation. Nature-based solutions research policy. [Online] [Cited: 11 7 2023.] https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/research-area/environment/nature-based-solutions/research-policy_en.
5. International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Nature-based Solutions. About Nature-based Solutions. [Online] n.d. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://www.iucn.org/our-work/nature-based-solutions>.
6. Kooijman, E.D., McQuaid, S., Rhodes, M.-L., Collier, M.J., Pilla, F. Innovating with Nature: From Nature-Based Solutions to Nature-Based Enterprises. *Sustainability*. 2021, Vol. 13, 1263.
7. United Nations. System of Environmental Economic Accounting. [Online] n.d. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://seea.un.org/content/natural-capital-and-ecosystem-services-faq>.
8. Convention on Biological Diversity. Activities and Major Issues. Natural Capital. [Online] 2021. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://www.cbd.int/business/projects/natcap.shtml>.
9. Zott, C., Massa, L. The business model: recent developments and future research. *Journal of Management*. 37, 2011, 4, 216-226.
10. Porter, M.E. Strategy and the Internet. *Havard Business Review*. 2001, 3, 63-78.
11. Geissdoerfer, M., Vladimirova, D., Evans, S. Sustainable business model innovation: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018, 198, 401-416.
12. Timmer, P. Business Models for Electronic Markets. *Electronic Markets*. 1998, 8, 3-8.
13. Richardson, J. The business model: an integrative framework for strategy execution. *Strategic Change*. 2008, 17, 133-144.
14. Geissdoerfer, M., Bocken, N.M.P., Hultink, E.J. Design thinking to enhance the sustainable business modelling process - a workshop based on a value mapping process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2016, 135, 1218-1232.
15. Barney, Jay. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*. 1991, 17, 99-120.
16. Shakeel, J., Mardani, A., Chofreh, A.G., Goni, F.A., Klemes, J.J. Anatomy of sustainable business model innovation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2022, 261.
17. Boons, F., Lüdeke-Freund, F. Business models for sustainable innovation: state-of-the-art and steps towards a research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2013, 45, 9-19.
18. Wells, P.E. *Business Models for Sustainability*. Cheltenham : Edward Elgar, 2013.
19. Yang, M., Evans, S., Vladimirova, D., Rana, P. Value uncaptured perspective for sustainable business model innovations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2016, 7.
20. Evans, S., Vladimirova, D., Holgado, M., Van Fossen, K., Yang, M., Silva, E., Barlow, C. Business model innovation for sustainability: towards a unified perspective for creation of sustainable business models. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. 2017, 26, 597-608.

21. N.M.P. Bocken, S.W. Short, P. Rana, S. Evans. A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2014, 65, 42-56.
22. Toxopeus, H., Polzin, F. *Characterizing nature-based solutions from a business model. D1.3 Part V*. 2017.
23. Osterwalder, A., Pigneur, Y. *Business Model Generation*. Hoboken : Wiley & Sons, 2010.
24. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. *he vital role of nature-based solutions in a nature positive economy*. s.l. : Publications Office of the European Union, 2022.
25. (UNIDO), United Nations Industrial Development Organization. What is CSR. [Online] 2023. [Cited: 11 7 2023.]
26. Comission, European. EU taxonomy for sustainable activities. [Online] 2023 [Cited: 11 7 2023.]
27. European Union. EU-Taxonomy - accelerating sustainable Investments. [Online] 2022. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] https://finance.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-02/sustainable-finance-taxonomy-complementary-climate-delegated-act-factsheet_en.pdf.
28. European commission. COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2023/363 (SFDR). [Online] 31 10 2022. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32023R0363>.
29. Britannica, Encyclopaedia. Britannica Money. Bond. [Online] 2023. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://www.britannica.com/money/bond-finance>.
30. Bhutta, U.S., Tariq, A., Farrukh, M, Raza, A., Iqbal M.K. Green bonds for sustainable development: Review of literature on development and impact of green bonds. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 2022, 175, 1-16.
31. Initiative, Climate Bonds. *Sustainable debt. Global state of the market 2022*. online : Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023.
32. Deschryver, P., Mariz, F. de. What future for the green bond market? How can policymakers, companies, and investors unlock the potential of the green market? . *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*. 13, 61.
33. European Commission. European green bond standard. [Online] 2023. [Cited: 12 7 2023.] https://finance.ec.europa.eu/sustainable-finance/tools-and-standards/european-green-bond-standard_en.
34. Zorrotzaurre. The Zorrotzaurre Urban Regeneration Project. [Online] Zorrotzaurre, n.d. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://www.zorrotzaurre.com/en/the-zorrotzaurre-urban-regeneration-project/>.
35. Network nature. Montpellier, France: Agroforestry: Agriculture of the future? The case of Montpellier. [Online] n.d. [Cited: 11 7 2023.] <https://networknature.eu/casestudy/18469>.
36. *Agroforestry: agriculture of the future? The case of Montpellier*. Drupaz, C. <https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/en/metadata/case-studies/agroforestry-agriculture-of-the-future-the-case-of-montpellier/#source>, 2014, Climate adapt.
37. Veolia. New horizons for sludge. [Online] 2017. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] https://www.veoliawatertechnologies.com/sites/g/files/dvc2476/files/document/2019/06/170324_VWT_NA_WAVE_Sludge_web%20%281%29.pdf.
38. Urban Nature Atlas. Ovilleo Wetland. [Online] Urban Nature Atlas, 2021. [Cited: 11 07 2022.] <https://una.city/NbS/lille/ovilleo-wetland>.
39. Les Agences de l'eau. *La station d'épuration de e Marquette, l'adhésion des habitants* .
40. greenhouse offices. Greenhouse antwerp. [Online] without year. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://www.greenhouse-offices.be/en/locations/greenhouse-antwerp/>.

41. intervest. Greenhouse. [Online] without year. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://www.intervest.be/en/greenhouse>.
42. Sempergreen. Greenhouse Antwerp. [Online] without year. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://www.sempergreen.com/en/references/sempergreen-green-facade-for-greenhouse-antwerp>.
43. Urban Nature Atlas. Green Wall on Office Building (Greenhouse). [Online] 2021. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://una.city/NbS/antwerpen/green-wall-office-building>.
44. CG Concept. Antwerpen / Kantoorpand krijgt groene wand . [Online] 21 10 2016. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://cgconcept.be/antwerpen-kantoorpand-krijgt-groene-wand/>.
45. Maiheu, B., et al. *Opmaak van een hittekaart en analyse van het stedelijk hitte-eiland effect voor Gent*. Ghent : City of Ghent, 2013.
46. City of Ghent. Vergroen je buurt. [Online] City of Ghent, 2023. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://stad.gent/nl/groen-milieu/klimaat/vergroen-je-buurt>.
47. Climate ADAPT. Ghent crowdfunding platform realising climate change adaptation through urban greening. [Online] Climate ADAPT, 22 09 2016. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/en/metadata/case-studies/ghent-crowdfunding-platform-realising-climate-change-adaptation-through-urban-greening/#challenges_anchor.
48. City of Ghent. Crowdfunding Gent. [Online] City of Ghent, without year. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://crowdfunding.gent/>.
49. Urban Nature Atlas. The Garden Factory. [Online] 2021. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://una.city/NbS/utrecht/garden-factory>.
50. Tiun & Balkon. De tuinfabriek: moestuinieren op het dak van Hoog Catherijne. [Online] 2014. [Cited: 11 07 2023.] <https://www.tuinenbalkon.nl/de-tuinfabriek-moestuiniere-op-het-dak-van-hoog-catherijne>.
51. Chesbrough, H., Rosenbloom, R. The role of the business model in capturing value from innovation: evidence from Xerox Corporation's technology spin-off companies. *Industrial and Corporate Change*. 2002, 11, 529-555.
52. Magretta, J. Why business models matter. *Harvard Business Review*. 2002, 80, 86-92.
53. Doganova, L., Eyquem-Renault, M. What do business models do? Innovation devices in technology entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*. 2009, 38, 1559-1570.
54. Casadesus-Masanell, R., Ricart, J.E. From strategy to business models and onto tactics. *Long Range Planning*. 2010, 43, 195-215.
55. Zott, C., Amit, R. Business model design: an activity system perspective. *Long Range Planning*. 2010, 43, 216-226.
56. Massa, L., Tucci, C., Afuah, A. A critical assessment of business model research. *Academy of Management Annals*. 2017, 11, 73-104.
57. Stubbs, W., Cocklin, C. Conceptualizing a "Sustainability Business Model". *Organization & Environment*. 2008, 21, 103-127.
58. Schaltegger, S., Hansen, E., Lüdeke-Freund, F. Business Cases for Sustainability and the Role of Business Model Innovation. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*. 6, 95-119.
59. Abdelkafi, N., Tauscher, K. Business models for sustainability from a system dynamics perspective. *Organization & Environment*. 29, 74-96.

9 ANNEX

Table 3: Business model definitions – selection from Geissdoerfer’s study 2018 (11)

Author/s	Definition: A business model is...
Timmers, 1998 (12)	... "an architecture of the product, service and information flows, including a description of the various business actors and their roles" (p. 4).
Chesbrough and Rosenbloom, 2002 (51)	...“the heuristic logic that connects technical potential with the realization of economic value” (p. 529).
Magretta, 2002 (52)	Business models “are stories – stories that explain how enterprises work” (p. 87).
Richardson, 2008 (13)	...“a conceptual framework that helps to link the firm’s strategy, or theory of how to compete, to its activities, or execution of the strategy” (p. 138).
Doganova and Eyquem-Renault, 2009 (53)	...“a narrative and calculative device that allows entrepreneurs to explore a market and plays a performative role by contributing to the construction of the techno-economic network of an innovation” (p. 1559).
Casadesus-Masanell and Ricart, 2010 (54)	...“a reflection of the firm's realized strategy” (p. 195).
Osterwalder and Pigneur, 2010 (23)	“A business model describes the rationale of how an organisation creates, delivers, and captures value” (p.14).
Zott and Amit, 2010 (55)	...“a system of interdependent activities that transcends the focal firm and spans its boundaries” (p. 216).
Geissdorfer et al., 2016 (14)	“we describe business models as simplified a representations of the elements and interactions between these elements e that an organisational unit chooses in order to create, deliver, capture, and exchange value” (p. 1218).
Massa et al., 2017 (56)	...“a description of an organisation and how that organisation functions in achieving its goals (e.g., profitability, growth, social impact, ...)” (p. 73).

Table 4: Sustainable business model definitions – selection from Geissdoerfer’ study 2018 (11)

Author/s	Definition: A sustainable business model ...
Stubbs, Cocklin, 2008 (57)	“is a model where sustainability concepts shape the driving force of the firm and its decision making [so that] the dominant neoclassical model of the firm is transformed, rather than supplemented, by social and environmental priorities.” (p. 103)
Schaltegger et al., 2012 (58)	“create customer and social value by integrating social, environmental, and business activities”. (p.112)
Boons, Lüdeke-Freund, 2013 (17)	differs from a conventional through four propositions: “1. The value proposition provides measurable ecological and/or social value in concert with economic value [...]. 2. The supply chain involves suppliers who take responsibility towards their own as well as the focal company's stakeholders [...]. 3. The customer interface motivates customers to take responsibility for their consumption as well as for the focal company's stakeholders. [...]. 4. The financial model reflects an appropriate distribution of economic costs and benefits among actors involved in the business model and accounts for the company's ecological and social impacts” (p. 13).
Wells, 2013 (18)	assists to achieve sustainability through six principles: “Resource efficiency, social relevance, localisation and engagement, longevity, ethical sourcing and work enrichment” (p. 65).
Abdelkafi, Tauscher, 2016 (59)	“incorporate sustainability as an integral part of the company's value proposition and value creation logic. [It] provides value to the customer and to the natural environment and/or society” (p. 75).
Geissdoerfer et al., 2016 (14)	“we define a sustainable business model as a simplified representation of the elements, the interrelation between these elements, and the interactions with its stakeholders that an organisational unit uses to create, deliver, capture, and exchange sustainable value for, and in collaboration with, a broad range of stakeholders” (p. 1219).